

Individual Report

Exam board meeting: 29-Jun-2015

Intercalated BSc in Comparative Pathology, 2014/15

Dr Timothy Scase

The Programme

Please comment, as appropriate, on the following aspects of the programme:

1.1 Course content

The course content is very good and covers a wide breadth of subject matter. There is an excellent balance of fundamental pathological proc

Student performance

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

2.1 Students' performance in relation to those at a similar stage on comparable courses in other institutions, where this is known to you

I have previously examined a similar course at another university. The course material in this course is very comparable in both content and level of content, to that I have seen at other institutions.

Response from college requested: **NO**

bottom of the range

There was an expected range of abilities shown by the different candidates. Those at the top, provided some

Please comment, as appropriate, on:

3.1 Assessment methods (relevance to learning objectives and curriculum)

The assessments methods used were similar to those employed in the previous year. They again were appropriate. The written portion of the examination covered the majority of the course curriculum. The project presentations required myself as an external examiner to be involved in posing questions and marking the presentations themselves. While I was delighted to be involved in this process, and was generally impressed with the standards of the student presentations, the use of an external examiner in this way to directly examine the students, is not something that is done now on many of the other courses.

Response from college requested: **NO**

COURSE DIRECTOR: Dr Simon Priestnall

Course Director Response:

Although we greatly appreciated the External examiners presence at the oral examinations and his excellent questions and professionalism we acknowledge that this is no longer appropriate and will use 2 internal examiners, independent of the course, to examine the students in 2016 and subsequently.

Action Required:

Identify two independent internal examiners to assess oral presentations.

Action Deadline:

30-Apr-2016

Action assigned to:

Simon Priestnall

4.12 The processes for assessment and the determination of awards are sound

Yes

Additional comments, particularly if your answer was no:

Response from college requested: NO

